EFFECTS OF INCRETIN-BASED THERAPY ON HIGH-SENSITIVITY C-REACTIVE PROTEIN IN PATIENTS WITH TYPE 2 DIABETES: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND META-ANALYSIS

YUCHENG WU^{1¶}, YU LU^{2¶}, SHUFANG YANG², AND QINGQING ZHANG^{2*}

Departments of ¹Cardiology and ²Endocrinology, Taizhou People's Hospital, Taizhou, Jiangsu, China

¶These authors contributed equally in this study.

ABSTRACT

Background: Recently, studies had shown that incretin-based therapies could reduce the levels of pro-inflammatory markers. The data on the effects of incretin-based therapies on serum high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) in type 2 diabetes (T2DM) were inconsistent. **Objective:** The objective of the study was to assess the effects of incretin-based therapies on hs-CRP in patients with T2DM by meta-analysis. **Methods:** We searched PubMed, EMBASE, the Cochrane Collaboration Library, and Web of Science to identify the eligible randomized clinical trials until August 2019. The pooled standard mean differences (SMD) were calculated by random-effects model using STATA 11.0. **Results:** Twenty-five studies with 28 randomized controlled trials were finally included into the meta-analysis. Meta-analysis revealed a significant reduction in hs-CRP following treatment with incretin-based regimens compared to controls (SMD = -0.452, $p < 0.001$). Subgroup analysis of different class of incretin-based drugs showed that therapy with both dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors (DPP-4Is, SMD = -0.338, $p = 0.026$) and glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonists (GLP-1 RAs, SMD = -0.544, $p = 0.003$) caused significant reductions in hs-CRP. Besides, there was a significant reduction in hs-CRP with an intervention duration more than 24 weeks (SMD = -0.465, $p = 0.001$), while no significant difference with <24 weeks. Meta-regression analyses showed that better glycemic control and more body mass index (BMI) decline were associated with hs-CRP reduction after incretin-based therapies. **Conclusions:** This meta-analysis suggests that incretin-based therapies, both GLP-1 RAs and DPP-4Is, can cause a significant reduction in hs-CRP in patients with T2DM, which is related to long intervention duration, better glycemic control, and more BMI decline. (REV INVEST CLIN. 2021;73(2):100-10)

Key words: High-sensitivity C-reactive protein. Incretin-based therapy. Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors. Glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonists.

INTRODUCTION

As a novel class of antidiabetic medication, incretin-based drugs, including glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonists (GLP-1 RAs) and dipeptidyl peptidase 4

inhibitors (DPP-4Is), are promising agents for the treatment of type 2 diabetes (T2DM)^{1,2}. GLP-1 RAs are mainly known to stimulate glucose-dependent insulin secretion, suppress glucagon release, and inhibit gastrointestinal motility, whereas DPP-4Is prevent the

*Corresponding author:
Qingqing Zhang
E-mail: 18061986120@189.cn

Received for publication: 25-06-2020
Approved for publication: 02-09-2020
DOI: 10.24875/RIC.20000308

0034-8376 / © 2020 Revista de Investigación Clínica. Published by Permanyer. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

breakdown and inactivation of GLP-1 and glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide to improve blood glucose. Studies have shown that incretin-based drugs not only enhance blood glucose management without increased weight gain or hypoglycemia but also improve beta-cell function and insulin resistance in patients with T2DM^{2,3}.

Recently, studies had shown that incretin-based therapies could reduce the levels of pro-inflammatory markers, which were thought to play a role in the development of insulin resistance⁴. Acute glucose excursion and post-prandial glucose excursion could exacerbate inflammation, which increased the risk of diabetic complications^{5,6}. As one of the acute phase proteins, high-sensitive C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) was reported to be elevated in T2DM⁷. Although there have been two meta-analyses to explore the effect of GLP-1 RAs⁸ and DPP-4Is⁹ on CRP, the specific mechanism has not been explored. This paper further discussed the role of factors such as body mass index (BMI), blood glucose, and medication time on the effect of incretin-based therapies on CRP. Besides, this paper has added the data of the newly published literature so as to analyze the effects of incretin-based therapies on serum hs-CRP in T2DM more credibly. Hence, the aim of this study was to assess the reported effects of incretin-based therapies on serum hs-CRP by systematically reviewing the existing randomized control trials.

METHODS

Search strategy

All randomized clinical trials (RCTs) investigating the effects of incretin-based therapies (including GLP-1 RAs and DPP-4Is) on serum hs-CRP concentrations in adults with T2DM were identified by comprehensive computer-based searches of PubMed, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, and Web of Knowledge databases until August 2019 without restrictions of language. The search was performed using various combinations of keywords like ("dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors" OR "dpp-4 inhibitor" OR dutogliptin OR alogliptin OR linaagliptin OR saxagliptin OR sitagliptin OR vildagliptin) OR ("glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonists" OR "glp-1 agonists" OR exenatide OR dulaglutide OR liraglutide OR taspoglutide OR incretin) AND (T2DM

AND ("Controlled Clinical Trial"[Publication Type])). The exact search was available on request from the authors. Additional studies were also identified by a hand search of all the references of retrieved articles.

Study selection

RCTs were eligible for this meta-analysis if they met the following inclusion criteria: (1) RCTs were human randomized, controlled trials, (2) adult patients aged more than 18 years with T2DM, and (3) hs-CRP change was reported for the intervention and control groups. We did not consider abstracts or unpublished reports. Case reports, editorials, review articles, and letters were excluded from the study. Articles were excluded if they did not include a control population. The present study was performed according to PRISMA guidelines.

Data extraction

Two researchers conducted an initial screening of studies independently. The next phase involved a review of abstracts and an examination of the full text in terms of the eligibility criteria. The final eligibility of the articles was determined through the agreement of the two reviewers. The following characteristics were extracted from each study: the first author, year of publication, study design, study duration, and baseline measures and changes from baseline of hs-CRP in intervention and control groups. Meanwhile, we did not define any minimum number of interventions or controls to be included in our meta-analysis.

Study quality assessment and risk of bias

The risk of bias of all included studies was assessed by the Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing the risk of bias of randomized trials¹⁰. The risk of bias assessment of all included articles is shown in Table S1 (Supplementary Information).

Statistical analysis

The standard deviations of the changes of serum hs-CRP from baseline were estimated from the sample size and the standard error or the 95% confidence interval (CI) when the RCTs involved in this

Figure 1. The flow chart on article selection for the meta-analysis, a total of 2,164 records was identified, and 25 studies were included in this meta-analysis finally.

meta-analysis did not report them. Standard mean differences (SMDs) and 95% CIs were calculated to evaluate the influence of incretin-based therapies on serum hs-CRP levels due to different scales or units. The pooled SMD of each study was calculated by the random effects model (using the DerSimonian-Laird method) regardless of heterogeneity. Heterogeneity was quantitatively assessed using the I^2 index.

Sensitivity analysis, subgroup analysis, and meta-regression were also to explore the source of heterogeneity. In addition, we assessed the probability of publication bias with funnel plots and Egger's test, with $p < 0.1$ considered representative of statistically significant publication bias. Statistical analysis was conducted using STATA 11.0 (Stata, College Station, TX, USA). The $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

A total of 2,164 records were identified, 1005 of which were excluded due to duplicates. Moreover, 1,101 articles were removed after reviewing titles and abstracts. An additional 33 articles were then removed for not satisfying the inclusion criteria ($n = 7$, not hs-CRP; $n = 13$, no data; $n = 1$, geometric data; $n = 5$, no control; $n = 3$, no baseline data; $n = 4$, not RCT). A flow chart on article selection for the meta-analysis is shown in figure 1.

Characteristics of the included studies

Twenty-five studies with 28 trials, with 2,851 participants in total, were included in the final systematic review and meta-analysis. Twenty-five of the

included studies were parallel randomized controlled trials, with eight studies reporting double-blind design, eight studies reporting open-label design, and one study reporting single-blind design. Another two included studies were cross-over randomized, controlled trials with open-label design. The characteristics of the 25 enrolled studies are shown in Table 1. The 25 studies were all published between 2010 and 2017. Of 25 studies, 12 used DPP-4Is as intervention¹¹⁻²⁵, 13 used GLP-1 RAs²⁶⁻³⁹. Among 12 studies concerning DPP-4Is, sitagliptin (dose range of 25-100 mg once daily), linagliptin (with 5 mg once daily), and vildagliptin (all with 50 mg twice a day) were studied with 8, 1, and 3 studies, respectively. In view of the 13 studies on GLP-1 RAs, exenatide (a dose of 5 µg twice daily for a period of 4 weeks, followed by a dose increase to 10 µg twice daily), liraglutide (range of 0.6-1.8 mg once daily), and taspoglutide (20 mg subcutaneously once weekly after 10 mg for the first 4 weeks) were studied in 6, 6, and 1 studies separately. The total number of individuals enrolled in each of the 25 studies ranged from 20 to 740. The duration of interventions ranged from 12 weeks to 104 weeks, with a median follow-up of 24 weeks. The mean age for the included studies was between 47.7 and 68.4 years. The mean disease duration of patients with diabetes for the included studies ranged from 5.4 months to 17.3 years.

Pooled estimate of incretin treatment on hs-CRP

Of the 25 studies reporting changes in hs-CRP following treatment with incretin, hs-CRP decreased significantly in incretin group compared with the control group (SMD = -0.452, 95% CI = -0.688--0.217, $p < 0.001$), with high heterogeneity ($I^2 = 88.1\%$, $p < 0.001$). The forest plot of the effect was presented in figure 2.

Evaluation of heterogeneity and sensitivity analysis

In exploratory analyses (Table S2, Supplementary Information), meta-analysis of trials excluding open and crossover studies also found a significant reduction of hs-CRP by 0.45 (95% CI = -0.95--0.33, $p < 0.001$). The subgroup analysis showed that therapy with both DPP-4Is (SMD = -0.338, 95% CI = -0.635--0.041,

$p = 0.026$) and GLP-1 RAs (SMD = -0.544, 95% CI = -0.908--0.181, $p = 0.003$) caused a significant reduction in hs-CRP versus all comparators. Subgroup analysis showed that there was no significant hs-CRP decrease in the subgroup with the intervention for a duration less than 24 weeks (SMD = -0.423, 95% CI = -0.889-0.043, $p = 0.075$), while hs-CRP decreased remarkably in the other subgroup (duration of intervention ≥ 24 weeks) (SMD = -0.465, 95% CI = -0.748--0.182, $p = 0.001$) comparison with the placebo control group; the subgroup analysis based on mean baseline BMI showed that in the group with BMI more than 30 kg/m², there was significant difference in the reduction in hs-CRP after incretin-based treatment (SMD = -0.680, 95% CI = -1.251--0.110, $p = 0.019$). In the group with BMI < 30 kg/m², hs-CRP was also significantly decreased after incretin-based treatment (SMD = -0.330, 95% CI = -0.576--0.082, $p = 0.009$).

Meta-regression analyses were performed to evaluate the extent to which different variables explained the heterogeneity. The results revealed that the heterogeneity could be explained by better glycemic control (linear regression coefficient = -0.993 [95% CI, -1.671--0.316], $p = 0.006$) and more BMI decline (linear regression coefficient = -0.325 [95% CI, -0.605--0.044], $p = 0.027$) in the effect of incretin on hs-CRP. However, age of subjects, year of publication, serum lipids, and sample size were not statistically correlated with heterogeneity ($p > 0.05$).

Publication bias diagnostics

We further identified the potential publication biases of literatures by Egger's test and funnel plot. In all trials, the shapes of the funnel plot indicated no obvious asymmetry (Fig. 3) and Egger's test provided statistical evidence for the funnel plot symmetry. No significant publication bias was found in the trials ($p = 0.103$).

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we used a complete search, integration, and analysis of data to perform a systematic assessment regarding the effects of incretin-based drugs treatment on hs-CRP compared to placebo or active drugs in patients with T2DM.

Table 1. The characteristics of included trials

Study (year)	Design	Background medications	Therapy duration	Intervention	Participants	Age (y)	Diabetes duration	CRP at baseline	BMI at baseline
Park KS (2017)	Open-label; Cross-over	Metformin	12 w	I: Vildagliptin	16	60.0 ± 9.6	7.4 ± 5.2 y	Log(hs-CRP): −0.42 ± 0.72 nmol/L	25.5 ± 4.1
				C: Glimepiride	16				
Strozik A (2015)	Parallel	None	12 w	I: Vildagliptin + Metformin (1.5 g)	15	45.9 ± 4.6	–	hs-CRP: 2.8 ± 0.2 g/l	28.2 ± 1.8
				C: Metformin (1.5 g)	13	51.4 ± 7.2		hs-CRP: 2.7 ± 0.4 g/l	29.0 ± 3.5
				I: Vildagliptin + Metformin (3.0 g)	17	49.3 ± 4.4		hs-CRP: 3.1 ± 0.1 g/l	30.5 ± 1.5
				C: Metformin (3.0 g)	16	58.2 ± 2.7		hs-CRP: 3.0 ± 0.2 g/l	33.3 ± 2.2
Takahata M (2013)	Open-label; Parallel	None	24 w	I: Sitagliptin	58	60.3 ± 7.5	–	hs-CRP: 1388 ± 3095 ng/ml	24.6 ± 3.3
				C: Pioglitazone	57	60.7 ± 9.5		hs-CRP: 1953 ± 3860 ng/ml	25.8 ± 4.8
Bunck MC (2010)	Parallel	Metformin	1 y	I: Exenatide	30	58.4 ± 8.4	5.7 ± 4.8 y	hs-CRP: 1.81 ± 0.25 mg/l	30.28 ± 1.44
				C: Glargine	29	58.3 ± 7.5	4.0 ± 3.4 y	hs-CRP: 1.42 ± 0.27 mg/l	30.34 ± 1.96
Derosa G (2012)	Open-label; Parallel	Metformin	12 m	I: Exenatide	81	57.3 ± 7.7	7.6 ± 2.8 y	hs-CRP: 2.0 ± 0.8 mg/l	31.9 ± 1.7
				C: Placebo	82	56.7 ± 7.3	7.8 ± 3.1 y		31.7 ± 1.5
Shigiyama F (2017)	Parallel	None	16 w	I: Linagliptin + Metformin	29	60.4 ± 9.0	–	hs-CRP: 1372.8 ± 2489.4 ng/ml	25.3 ± 4.4
				C: Metformin	25	60.3 ± 12.3		hs-CRP: 1743.3 ± 2586.2 ng/ml	26.2 ± 4.0
Dutour A (2016)	Open-label; Parallel	Oral medicine	26 w	I: Exenatide	22	51 ± 2	4 (2-8) y	hs-CRP: 11.65 ± 10.36 mg/l	37.2 ± 1.7
				C: Conventional treatment	22	52 ± 2	4 (1-10) y	hs-CRP: 9.96 ± 11.01 mg/l	31.7 ± 1.5

(Continues)

Table 1. The characteristics of included trials (*continued*)

Study (year)	Design	Background medications	Therapy duration	Intervention	Participants	Age (y)	Diabetes duration	CRP at baseline	BMI at baseline
Wu JD (2011)	Double-blind; Parallel	None	16 w	I: Exenatide	12	54 ± 9.5	5.0 ± 2.5 y	hs-CRP: 0.4 ± 0.5 mg/l	26.3 ± 1.9
				C: Placebo	11	57 ± 10	7.3 ± 4.4 y	hs-CRP: 0.6 ± 0.4 mg/l	26.3 ± 3.0
Nakamura K (2014)	Parallel	None	12 w	I: Sitagliptin	24	66.6 ± 11.9	57.6 ± 41.2 m	hs-CRP: 2194.4 ± 4079.1	27.8 ± 3.5
				C: Voglibose	31	68.4 ± 9.2	41.9 ± 44.1 m	hs-CRP: 2052.2 ± 3816.2	25.7 ± 4.3
Mita T (2016)	Open-label; Parallel	None	104 w	I: Sitagliptin	122	63.8 ± 9.7	17.2 ± 8.5 y	hs-CRP: 506 (210-1310) ng/dl	25.0 ± 4.3
				C: Conventional treatment	121	63.6 ± 1.0	17.3 ± 8.7 y	hs-CRP: 487 (277-1004) ng/dl	25.0 ± 3.8
Nomoto H (2015)	Open-label; Parallel	None	14 w	I: Liraglutide	16	61.1 ± 8.3	–	–	26.6 (23.6-29.9)
				C: Glargine	15	59.5 ± 12.3			25.8 (24.2-28.2)
Fan H (2013)	Parallel	None	12 w	I: Exenatide	49	51.02 ± 10.10	–	hs-CRP: 3.14 ± 0.58 mg/l	28.18 ± 1.86
				C: Metformin	68	54.68 ± 12.14		hs-CRP: 3.16 ± 0.68 mg/l	27.61 ± 1.77
Oe H (2015)	Open-label; Parallel	None	24 w	I: Sitagliptin	40	67.8 ± 10.5	48 (6-240) m	hs-CRP: 3869 ± 9072	27.7 ± 4.1
				C: Voglibose	40	66.7 ± 9.8	38.5 (3-28) m	hs-CRP: 1358 ± 2511	25.7 ± 4.3
Kato H (2015)	Open-label; Parallel	None	24 w	I: Sitagliptin	10	62 (56-70)	–	hs-CRP: 0.18 (0.05-0.23) mmol/l	25.6 (24.7-32.5)
				C: Glimepiride	10	55 (42-62)		hs-CRP: 0.19 (0.07-0.28) mmol/l	26.6 (25.0-32.4)
Derosa G (2010)	Single-blind; Parallel	None	12 m	I: Exenatide	59	57 ± 8	–	hs-CRP: 2.1 ± 0.8 mg/l	28.7 ± 1.5
				C: Glimepiride	57	56 ± 7		hs-CRP: 2.0 ± 0.7 mg/l	28.5 ± 1.4
Nomoto H (2016)	Open-label; Parallel	None	26 w	I: Sitagliptin	41	62 (35-80)	–	–	25.7 ± 3.9
				C: Glimepiride	49	60 (36-60)			25.2 ± 3.5
Faurischou A (2015)	Double-blind; Parallel	None	8 w	I: Liraglutide	11	54 ± 14	–	hs-CRP: 5.4 ± 4.4 mg/l	37.0 ± 8.2
				C: Placebo	9	48 ± 12		hs-CRP: 3.8 ± 5.4 mg/l	35.0 ± 11.5

(Continues)

Table 1. The characteristics of included trials (*continued*)

Study (year)	Design	Background medications	Therapy duration	Intervention	Participants	Age (y)	Diabetes duration	CRP at baseline	BMI at baseline
Derosa G (2012)	Double-blind; Parallel	Metformin	12 m	I: Vildagliptin	84	54.2 ± 8.3	6.1 ± 3.7 m	hs-CRP: 1.9 ± 2.0 mg/l	27.9 ± 1.5
				C: Placebo	81	52.4 ± 7.1	6.3 ± 3.9 m	hs-CRP: 1.7 ± 0.8 mg/l	27.8 ± 1.4
Derosa G (2012)	Double-blind; Parallel	Metformin	12 m	I: Sitagliptin	86	55.9 ± 8.8	5.8 ± 2.6 m	hs-CRP: 1.8 ± 0.7 mg/l	28.1 ± 1.2
				C: Placebo	83	54.8 ± 7.9	5.4 ± 2.3 m	hs-CRP: 2.0 ± 0.9 mg/l	28.9 ± 2.0
Hollander P (2013)	Double-blind; Parallel	None	24 w	I: Taspoglutide	149	53.0 ± 10	5.2 ± 4.3 y	hs-CRP: 5.57 mg/l	36.9 ± 5.0
				C: Placebo	143	54 ± 10	4.9 ± 4.1 y	hs-CRP: 6.73 mg/l	36.5 ± 4.8
Liang Z (2013)	Parallel	None	12 m	I: Exenatide	34	50.94 ± 5.89	7.17 ± 1.80 y	hs-CRP: 3.14 ± 1.14 mg/l	30.9 ± 0.7
				C: Conventional treatment	36	51.75 ± 6.70	7.24 ± 1.61 y	hs-CRP: 2.91 ± 1.55 mg/l	30.1 ± 0.6
Seino Y (2012)	Double-blind; Parallel	None	24 w	I: Liraglutide	264	58.2 ± 10.4	8.1 ± 6.7 y	hs-CRP: 0.1052 mg/dl	24.5 ± 3.7
				C: Glimepiride	129	58.5 ± 10.4	8.5 ± 6.8 y		24.4 ± 3.8
Koren S (2012)	Open-label; Cross-over	None	3 m	I: Sitagliptin	20	59 ± 10	7.8 ± 5.0 y	hs-CRP: 4.7 ± 6.0 mg/l	31 ± 5
				C: Glimepiride	20				
Kaku K (2010)	Double-blind; Parallel	Sulfonylureas	24 w	I: Liraglutide	176	60.2 ± 10.6	10.5 ± 6.7 y	hs-CRP: 0.1145 ± 0.1312 mg/dl	30.5 ± 1.5
				C: Placebo	88	58.6 ± 9.7	10.1 ± 7.3 y	hs-CRP: 0.1478 ± 0.1523 mg/dl	33.3 ± 2.2
Nandy D (2014)	Double-blind; Parallel	None	12 w	I: Liraglutide	16	57.7 ± 9.0	5.3 ± 4.1 y	-	32.7 ± 4.5
				C: Placebo	14	60.3 ± 7.3	8.4 ± 4.6 y		31.6 ± 4.2
				C: Glimepiride	17	57.7 ± 5.3	6.8 ± 8.1 y		31.1 ± 4.9

I: intervention group; C: control group; hs-CRP: high-sensitive C-reactive protein; w: weeks; m: months; y: years; Data are presented as the mean ± SD or median (range).
SD: standard deviation.

Figure 2. The forest plot of the effect of incretin treatment on high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) of the 16 studies reporting changes in hs-CRP following treatment with incretin, hs-CRP decreased significantly in incretin group compared with the control group.

Our meta-analysis, with 25 studies involving a total 2,851 participants, suggested that incretin-based regimens, both GLP-1 RAs and DPP-4Is, were associated with a significant reduction in hs-CRP compared with controls. These data strongly support the benefits of incretin-based therapy in improving inflammation in patients with T2DM.

The duration of incretin-based drugs intervention and mean baseline BMI varied between the trials included in this meta-analysis. Subgroup analysis indicated that a greater reduction in hs-CRP was observed following treatment when the duration of intervention was longer than 24 weeks. The previous meta-analysis by Mazidi *et al.* also showed that changes in serum CRP concentration following treatment with GLP-1 RAs were associated with the duration of treatment⁸. It is possible that intervention duration <24 weeks is not sufficient to observe an obvious change in hs-CRP.

Subgroup analysis showed that incretin-based therapies significantly reduced serum hs-CRP levels regardless of the mean baseline BMI level greater than or <30 kg/m². It is suggested that the effect of incretin-based drugs on hs-CRP is independent of baseline BMI, but the effect of incretin-based drugs on hs-CRP reduction seems to be higher in subgroup analysis with BMI greater than 30 kg/m².

The impact of incretin-based drugs on serum hs-CRP concentration may work through several different reasons. First, it may be the result of reduced body weight. In this paper, it showed that more BMI decline was associated with hs-CRP reduction. It has been well-established that GLP-1 RAs can significantly reduce the body weight in T2DM, which improves chronic inflammation in visceral adipose⁴⁰. CRP expression is influenced by pro-inflammatory cytokines secreted by visceral adipose tissue, such as tumor

Figure 3. The funnel plot of included studies for the meta-analysis in all trials, the shapes of funnel plot indicated no obvious asymmetry. No significant publication bias was found in the trials.

necrosis factor- α and interleukin-6. Second, it is due to the fact that incretin-based therapies improve glycemic excursion. In this paper, we found that better glycemic control was associated with hs-CRP reduction. Acute glucose migration following an oral glucose tolerance test increases the level of monocyte NF- κ B, which is the main cellular signal of inflammation and induces the transcription of pro-inflammatory cytokines and enzymes that generate reactive oxygen species⁴¹. Previous studies have shown that CRP levels in diabetic patients increased significantly after an oral glucose tolerance test⁴². Moreover, incretin-based drugs might have direct effects on inflammation. In fundamental studies, GLP-1 RAs can directly inhibit NF- κ B pathway and the secretion of inflammatory cytokines in macrophages⁴³. Besides, GLP-1 RAs also improved inflammation in adipose tissue by improved angiogenesis and microcirculation in obesity⁴⁴. Moreover, inhibiting DPP-4 with linagliptin can polarize macrophages and form an anti-inflammatory phenotype in adipose tissue, thereby reducing inflammation and insulin resistance caused by obesity⁴⁵. Sitagliptin, an available DPP4 inhibitor drug, showed multidimensional anti-inflammatory effects

among diabetic patients mostly by affecting on NF- κ B signaling pathway⁴⁶.

The following limitations, however, should be acknowledged. First, we cannot conduct a subgroup meta-analysis to explore the effect of baseline hs-CRP level on the outcome due to the inconsistency of detection methods and different reference ranges of normal values. Second, some trials included in the present meta-analysis with small sample sizes reduced the power of those trials. Third, most trials in this meta-analysis were not specially designed to assess the effects of incretin-based therapies on inflammatory biomarker hs-CRP. Finally, some data for changes in hs-CRP could not be extracted directly. Although we get data by calculation based on the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions, it still leads to deviations.

This meta-analysis shows that incretin-based drugs, both GLP-1 RAs and DPP-4Is, have potentially beneficial effects on inflammatory biomarker hs-CRP regardless of baseline BMI. Moreover, the effect is related to long intervention duration, better glycemic control, and more BMI decline.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank all the participants in this study. The study was supported by the Nantong University Clinical Medicine Program (2019JQ008).

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data are available at Revista de Investigación Clínica online (www.clinicalandtranslational-investigation.com). These data are provided by the corresponding author and published online for the benefit of the reader. The contents of supplementary data are the sole responsibility of the authors.

REFERENCES

- Jonas D, Van Scoyoc E, Gerrald K, Wines R, Amick H, Triplette M, et al. Drug Class Review: newer Diabetes Medications, TZDs, and Combinations: final Original Report. Portland, OR: CADTH; 2011.
- Drucker DJ, Nauck MA. The incretin system: glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists and dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors in Type 2 diabetes. *Lancet*. 2006;368:1696-705.
- Ling J, Cheng P, Ge L, Zhang DH, Shi AC, Tian JH, et al. The efficacy and safety of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors for Type 2 diabetes: a bayesian network meta-analysis of 58 randomized controlled trials. *Acta Diabetol*. 2019;56:249-72.
- Liu C, Feng X, Li Q, Wang Y, Li Q, Hua M. Adiponectin, TNF-alpha and inflammatory cytokines and risk of Type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cytokine*. 2016;86:100-9.
- Monnier L, Mas E, Ginet C, Michel F, Villon L, Cristol JP, et al. Activation of oxidative stress by acute glucose fluctuations compared with sustained chronic hyperglycemia in patients with Type 2 diabetes. *JAMA*. 2006;295:1681-7.
- Giugliano D, Ceriello A, Paolisso G. Oxidative stress and diabetic vascular complications. *Diabetes Care*. 1996;19:257-67.
- Tan KC, Wat NM, Tam SC, Janus ED, Lam TH, Lam KS. C-reactive protein predicts the deterioration of glycemia in chinese subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. *Diabetes Care*. 2003;26:2323-8.
- Mazidi M, Karimi E, Rezaie P, Ferns GA. Treatment with GLP1 receptor agonists reduce serum CRP concentrations in patients with Type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Diabetes Complications*. 2017;31:1237-42.
- Liu X, Men P, Wang B, Cai G, Zhao Z. Effect of dipeptidyl-peptidase-4 inhibitors on C-reactive protein in patients with Type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lipids Health Dis*. 2019;18:144.
- Higgins GS. *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* Version 6.0. United Kingdom: The Cochrane Collaboration; 2011.
- Strozik A, Steposz A, Basiak M, Drozd M, Okopien B. Multifactorial effects of vildagliptin added to ongoing metformin therapy in patients with Type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Pharmacol Rep*. 2015;67:24-31.
- Takahata M, Nakamura A, Tajima K, Inazumi T, Komatsu Y, Tamura H, et al. Comparative study of sitagliptin with pioglitazone in Japanese Type 2 diabetic patients: the COMPASS randomized controlled trial. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2013;15:455-62.
- Shigiyama F, Kumashiro N, Miyagi M, Iga R, Kobayashi Y, Kanda E, et al. Linagliptin improves endothelial function in patients with Type 2 diabetes: a randomized study of linagliptin effectiveness on endothelial function. *J Diabetes Investig*. 2017;8:330-40.
- Mita T, Katakami N, Shiraiwa T, Yoshii H, Onuma T, Kuribayashi N, et al. Sitagliptin attenuates the progression of carotid intima-media thickening in insulin-treated patients with Type 2 diabetes: the sitagliptin preventive study of intima-media thickness evaluation (SPIKE): a randomized controlled trial. *Diabetes Care*. 2016;39:455-64.
- Dei Cas A, Spigoni V, Cito M, Aldigeri R, Ridolfi V, Marchesi E, et al. Vildagliptin, but not glibenclamide, increases circulating endothelial progenitor cell number: a 12-month randomized controlled trial in patients with Type 2 diabetes. *Cardiovasc Diabetol*. 2017;16:27.
- Oe H, Nakamura K, Kihara H, Shimada K, Fukuda S, Takagi T, et al. Comparison of effects of sitagliptin and voglibose on left ventricular diastolic dysfunction in patients with Type 2 diabetes: results of the 3D trial. *Cardiovasc Diabetol*. 2015;14:83.
- Park KS, Kwak S, Cho YM, Park KS, Jang HC, Kim SY, et al. Vildagliptin reduces plasma stromal cell-derived factor-1alpha in patients with Type 2 diabetes compared with glimepiride. *J Diabetes Investig*. 2017;8:218-26.
- Kato H, Nagai Y, Ohta A, Tenjin A, Nakamura Y, Tsukiyama H, et al. Effect of sitagliptin on intrahepatic lipid content and body fat in patients with Type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2015;109:199-205.
- Nakamura K, Oe H, Kihara H, Shimada K, Fukuda S, Watanabe K, et al. DPP-4 inhibitor and alpha-glucosidase inhibitor equally improve endothelial function in patients with Type 2 diabetes: EDGE study. *Cardiovasc Diabetol*. 2014;13:110.
- Nogueira KC, Furtado M, Fukui RT, Correia MR, Dos Santos RF, Andrade JL, et al. Left ventricular diastolic function in patients with Type 2 diabetes treated with a dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor-a pilot study. *Diabetol Metab Syndr*. 2014;6:103.
- Nomoto H, Miyoshi H, Furumoto T, Oba K, Tsutsui H, Inoue A, et al. A randomized controlled trial comparing the effects of sitagliptin and glimepiride on endothelial function and metabolic parameters: sapporo athero-incretin study 1 (SAIS1). *PLoS One*. 2016;11:e0164255.
- Derosa G, Ragonesi PD, Carbone A, Fogari E, Bianchi L, Bonaventura A, et al. Vildagliptin added to metformin on beta-cell function after a euglycemic hyperinsulinemic and hyperglycemic clamp in Type 2 diabetes patients. *Diabetes Technol Ther*. 2012;14:475-84.
- Derosa G, Carbone A, Franzetti I, Querci F, Fogari E, Bianchi L, et al. Effects of a combination of sitagliptin plus metformin vs metformin monotherapy on glycemic control, beta-cell function and insulin resistance in Type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2012;98:51-60.
- Koren S, Shemesh-Bar L, Tirosh A, Peleg RK, Berman S, Hamad RA, et al. The effect of sitagliptin versus glibenclamide on arterial stiffness, blood pressure, lipids, and inflammation in Type 2 diabetes mellitus patients. *Diabetes Technol Ther*. 2012;14:561-7.
- Satoh-Asahara N, Sasaki Y, Wada H, Tochiya M, Iguchi A, Nakagawachi R, et al. A dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor, sitagliptin, exerts anti-inflammatory effects in Type 2 diabetic patients. *Metabolism*. 2013;62:347-51.
- Derosa G, Franzetti IG, Querci F, Carbone A, Ciccarelli L, Piccinni MN, et al. Exenatide plus metformin compared with metformin alone on beta-cell function in patients with Type 2 diabetes. *Diabet Med*. 2012;29:1515-23.
- Doutour A, Abdesselam I, Ancel P, Kober F, Mrad G, Darmon P, et al. Exenatide decreases liver fat content and epicardial adipose tissue in patients with obesity and Type 2 diabetes: a prospective randomized clinical trial using magnetic resonance imaging and spectroscopy. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2016;18:882-91.
- Wu JD, Xu XH, Zhu J, Ding B, Du TX, Gao G, et al. Effect of exenatide on inflammatory and oxidative stress markers in patients with Type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Technol Ther*. 2011;13:143-8.
- Nomoto H, Miyoshi H, Furumoto T, Oba K, Tsutsui H, Miyoshi A, et al. A comparison of the effects of the GLP-1 analogue liraglutide and insulin glargine on endothelial function and metabolic parameters: a randomized, controlled trial sapporo athero-incretin study 2 (SAIS2). *PLoS One*. 2015;10:e0135854.
- Dautschou A, Gyldenlove M, Rohde U, Thyssen JP, Zachariae C, Skov L, et al. Lack of effect of the glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist liraglutide on psoriasis in glucose-tolerant patients-a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2015;29:555-9.
- Hollander P, Lasko B, Barnett AH, Bengus M, Kanitra L, Pi-Sunyer FX, et al. Effects of tasoglutide on glycaemic control and body

- weight in obese patients with Type 2 diabetes (T-merge 7 study). *Obesity* (Silver Spring). 2013;21:238-47.
32. Pratley RE, Urosevic D, Boldrin M, Balena R, Group TE. Efficacy and tolerability of tasoglutide versus pioglitazone in subjects with Type 2 diabetes uncontrolled with sulphonylurea or sulphonylurea-metformin therapy: a randomized, double-blind study (T-merge 6). *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2013;15:234-40.
 33. Seino Y, Rasmussen MF, Nishida T, Kaku K. Efficacy and safety of the once-daily human GLP-1 analogue, liraglutide, vs glibenclamide monotherapy in Japanese patients with Type 2 diabetes. *Curr Med Res Opin*. 2010;26:1013-22.
 34. Kaku K, Rasmussen MF, Clauson P, Seino Y. Improved glycaemic control with minimal hypoglycaemia and no weight change with the once-daily human glucagon-like peptide-1 analogue liraglutide as add-on to sulphonylurea in Japanese patients with Type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2010;12:341-7.
 35. Derosa G, Maffioli P, Salvadeo SA, Ferrari I, Ragonesi PD, Querci F, et al. Exenatide versus glibenclamide in patients with diabetes. *Diabetes Technol Ther*. 2010;12:233-40.
 36. Nandy D, Johnson C, Basu R, Joyner M, Brett J, Svendsen CB, et al. The effect of liraglutide on endothelial function in patients with Type 2 diabetes. *Diab Vasc Dis Res*. 2014;11:419-30.
 37. Fan H, Pan Q, Xu Y, Yang X. Exenatide improves Type 2 diabetes concomitant with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Arq Bras Endocrinol Metabol*. 2013;57:702-8.
 38. Bunck MC, Diamant M, Eliasson B, Corner A, Shaginian RM, Heine RJ, et al. Exenatide affects circulating cardiovascular risk biomarkers independently of changes in body composition. *Diabetes Care*. 2010;33:1734-7.
 39. Liang Z, Wu Q, Chen B, Yu P, Zhao H, Ouyang X. Effect of laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery on Type 2 diabetes mellitus with hypertension: a randomized controlled trial. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2013;101:50-6.
 40. Beck-Nielsen H. Glucagon-like peptide 1 analogues in the treatment of Type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Ugeskr Laeger*. 2012;174:1606-8.
 41. Dhindsa S, Tripathy D, Mohanty P, Ghanim H, Syed T, Aljada A, et al. Differential effects of glucose and alcohol on reactive oxygen species generation and intranuclear nuclear factor-kappaB in mononuclear cells. *Metabolism*. 2004;53:330-4.
 42. Ceriello A, Assaloni R, Da Ros R, Maier A, Piconi L, Quagliaro L, et al. Effect of atorvastatin and irbesartan, alone and in combination, on postprandial endothelial dysfunction, oxidative stress, and inflammation in Type 2 diabetic patients. *Circulation*. 2005;111:2518-24.
 43. Guo C, Huang T, Chen A, Chen X, Wang L, Shen F, et al. Glucagon-like peptide 1 improves insulin resistance in vitro through anti-inflammation of macrophages. *Braz J Med Biol Res*. 2016;49:e5826.
 44. Xian Y, Chen Z, Deng H, Cai M, Liang H, Xu W, et al. Exenatide mitigates inflammation and hypoxia along with improved angiogenesis in obese fat tissue. *J Endocrinol*. 2019;242:79-89.
 45. Zhuge F, Ni Y, Nagashimada M, Nagata N, Xu L, Mukaida N, et al. DPP-4 inhibition by linagliptin attenuates obesity-related inflammation and insulin resistance by regulating M1/M2 macrophage polarization. *Diabetes*. 2016;65:2966-79.
 46. Mozafari N, Azadi S, Mehdi-Alamdarlou S, Ashrafi H, Azadi A. Inflammation: a bridge between diabetes and COVID-19, and possible management with sitagliptin. *Med Hypotheses*. 2020;143:110-1.